

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/540,896	03/31/2000	Shunpei Yamazaki	0756-2135	2024
31780	7590 12/28/2004		EXAMINER	
ERIC ROBINSON			CHANG, KENT WU	
PMB 955 21010 SOUTH	IBANK ST.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
POTOMAC FALLS, VA 20165			2673	
			DATE MAIL ED. 12/29/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/540,896	YAMAZAKI ET AL.					
	Examiner	Art Unit	_				
	Kent Chang	2673					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 09 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee lave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under							
67 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three movement patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	onths after the mailing date of the final reje	ection, even if timely filed, may reduce any					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>09 July 2004</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. $igtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) 🗵 they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: claims 41-50 were added in the propose	d Amendment.	r'					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s): <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11 and 35-40</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
□ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
0. ☐ Other:							
_ · · · 		Kent Cay					
		Kent Chang Primary Examiner					
Potent and Trademark Office		Art Unit: 2673					

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): the rejection of claims 6-11 based on the combination of Wakai et al and Matsueda.

^